Density functional theory and multi-marginal optimal transport: Introduction

Yair Shenfeld

Brown University

The electronic Schrödinger equation (neglecting spins)

The electronic Schrödinger equation (neglecting spins)

Consider a system of N electrons subject to an external potential

$$r_i \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \mathbb{R}^{3N} \ni \{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \quad \mapsto \quad \sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i).$$

The electronic Schrödinger equation (neglecting spins)

Consider a system of N electrons subject to an external potential

$$r_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$$
, $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \ni \{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i)$.

The possible states $\{\Psi_\ell\}$ of the system are described by solutions to the Schrödinger equation

$$H\Psi_\ell = E_\ell \Psi_\ell$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H := -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|}$$

The Hamiltonian:
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_i|}$$

The Hamiltonian:
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_i|}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i = \text{kinetic energy},$$

The Hamiltonian:
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_i|}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i = ext{kinetic energy},$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i) = ext{external potential},$

The Hamiltonian:
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_i|}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i = \text{kinetic energy},$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) = \text{external potential},$$
$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} = \text{Coulomb potential}.$$

The Hamiltonian:
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_i|}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i = \text{kinetic energy},$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) = \text{external potential},$$
$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} = \text{Coulomb potential}.$$

Example.

A molecule is composed of M nuclei at positions $\{R_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{M}$, $R_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, with charges $\{Z_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{M}$, and N electrons at positions $\{r_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}$, $r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The Hamiltonian:
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_i|}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i = \text{kinetic energy},$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} v(r_i) = \text{external potential},$$
$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} = \text{Coulomb potential}.$$

Example.

A molecule is composed of M nuclei at positions $\{R_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{M}$, $R_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, with charges $\{Z_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{M}$, and N electrons at positions $\{r_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}$, $r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

$$v(r_i) := -\sum_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{Z_\alpha}{|r_i - R_\alpha|}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} v(r_{i}) |\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \,\mathrm{d}r, \quad r := \{r_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}, \ r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \end{split}$$

and the infimum is taken over all antisymmetric (Pauli exclusion principle) normalized wave functions of finite kinetic energy.

where

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} v(r_{i}) |\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \,\mathrm{d}r, \quad r := \{r_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}, \ r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \end{split}$$

and the infimum is taken over all antisymmetric (Pauli exclusion principle) normalized wave functions of finite kinetic energy.

Problem. Computing E by solving the Schrödinger equation is too expensive.

Recall:

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} v(r_{i}) |\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \,\mathrm{d}r. \end{split}$$

Recall:

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} v(r_{i}) |\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \,\mathrm{d}r. \end{split}$$

The Levy-Lieb constrained-search functional is

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

Recall:

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} v(r_{i}) |\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \,\mathrm{d}r. \end{split}$$

The Levy-Lieb constrained-search functional is

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \le i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\},$$

and satisfies

$$E = \inf_{\Psi} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle = \inf_{\rho} \left\{ F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(x) v(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right\}$$

because, by symmetry,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} v(r_i) |\Psi(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v(x) \rho_{\Psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

To compute the ground state energy *E* it suffices to compute the minimum of the functional $\rho \mapsto \{F_{LL}(\rho) + \langle v, \rho \rangle\}$ over the **electron densities** ρ , which depend only on $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, instead of computing the minimum of $\langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle$ over **wave functions** Ψ , which depend on $r \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$.

To compute the ground state energy *E* it suffices to compute the minimum of the functional $\rho \mapsto \{F_{LL}(\rho) + \langle v, \rho \rangle\}$ over the **electron densities** ρ , which depend only on $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, instead of computing the minimum of $\langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle$ over **wave functions** Ψ , which depend on $r \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$.

Problem. We have no description of

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) = \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \int |\nabla \Psi(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}.$$

The adiabatic connection

For $\lambda \geq 0$ let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}^{\lambda}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \int |\nabla \Psi(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\},\,$$

so $F_{LL}^{\lambda=0}(\rho) = \inf_{\Psi:\rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \int |\nabla \Psi(r)|^2 dr$ (non-interacting electrons), and $F_{LL}^{\lambda=1}(\rho) = F_{LL}(\rho)$.

The adiabatic connection

For $\lambda \ge 0$ let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}^{\lambda}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \int |\nabla \Psi(r)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}r + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\},$$

so $F_{LL}^{\lambda=0}(\rho) = \inf_{\Psi:\rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \int |\nabla \Psi(r)|^2 dr$ (non-interacting electrons), and $F_{LL}^{\lambda=1}(\rho) = F_{LL}(\rho)$.

Take $\lambda
ightarrow \infty$ [Seidl (1999); Seidl, Gori-Giorgi, Savin (2007)],

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{F_{\mathsf{LL}}^{\lambda}(\rho)}{\lambda} = \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r =: V^{\mathsf{SCE}}(\rho).$$

DFT and multi-marginal optimal transport

Recall

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{F_{\text{LL}}^{\lambda}(\rho)}{\lambda} = V^{\text{SCE}}(\rho) = \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

DFT and multi-marginal optimal transport

Recall

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{F_{\mathsf{LL}}^{\lambda}(\rho)}{\lambda} = V^{\mathsf{SCE}}(\rho) = \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

Typically, the infimum in $V^{\text{SCE}}(\rho)$ is not attained.

DFT and multi-marginal optimal transport

Recall

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{F_{\text{LL}}^{\lambda}(\rho)}{\lambda} = V^{\text{SCE}}(\rho) = \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

Typically, the infimum in $V^{SCE}(\rho)$ is not attained.

Relaxation. [Buttazzo, De Pascale, Gori-Giorgi (2012); Cotar, Friesecke, Klüppelberg (2013)]

$$\inf_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_{i}-r_{j}|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r),$$

where the infimum is over the set of probability measures π on \mathbb{R}^{3N} whose marginals on \mathbb{R}^3 are all equal to ρ .

DFT multi-marginal optimal transport:

$$\inf_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_i-r_j|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r).$$

DFT multi-marginal optimal transport:

$$\inf_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_i-r_j|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r).$$

The infimum is always attained,

DFT multi-marginal optimal transport:

$$\inf_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_{i}-r_{j}|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r).$$

The infimum is always attained, and moreover [Cotar, Friesecke, Klüppelberg (2013, 2018); Bindini, De Pascale (2017)],

$$\begin{split} \min_{\pi:\pi\rho=\rho} & \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}\pi(r) \\ &= V^{\mathsf{SCE}}(\rho) \\ &= & \inf_{\Psi:\rho\Psi=\rho} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^2}{|r_i - r_j|} \, \mathrm{d}r. \end{split}$$

Solving

$$\min_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_{i}-r_{j}|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r)$$

is still computationally difficult.

Solving

$$\min_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_i-r_j|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r)$$

is still computationally difficult.

A Monge solution (if exists) is of much lower dimension:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ are **co-motion functions** which preserve ρ :

$$(f_i)_{\sharp}\rho = \rho \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Solving

$$\min_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\frac{1}{|r_i-r_j|}\,\mathrm{d}\pi(r)$$

is still computationally difficult.

A Monge solution (if exists) is of much lower dimension:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ are **co-motion functions** which preserve ρ :

$$(f_i)_{\sharp}\rho = \rho \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Note. Consider $f_1(x) = x$ to recover the familiar Monge solution.

The DFT optimal transport problem

$$\min_{\pi:\pi_{\rho}=\rho}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{1} \frac{1}{|r_i-r_j|} \,\mathrm{d}\pi(r)$$

becomes the Monge problem

$$\inf_{f_1,\ldots,f_N}\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{|f_i(x)-f_j(x)|}\frac{\rho(x)}{N}\,\mathrm{d}x$$

over all co-motion functions f_1, \ldots, f_N which preserve ρ .

 The infimum in the Monge problem is the same as the minimum in the DFT optimal transport problem. [Colombo, Marino (2013)].

- The infimum in the Monge problem is the same as the minimum in the DFT optimal transport problem. [Colombo, Marino (2013)].
- 2. For N = 2 electrons (in any dimension), the infimum in the Monge problem is attained and is unique. [Cotar, Friesecke, Klüppelberg (2013)].

- The infimum in the Monge problem is the same as the minimum in the DFT optimal transport problem. [Colombo, Marino (2013)].
- 2. For N = 2 electrons (in any dimension), the infimum in the Monge problem is attained and is unique. [Cotar, Friesecke, Klüppelberg (2013)].
- In dimension 1, for any N electrons, the infimum in the Monge problem is attained, and unique (after symmetrization). [Colombo, De Pascale, Di Marino (2015)].

- The infimum in the Monge problem is the same as the minimum in the DFT optimal transport problem. [Colombo, Marino (2013)].
- 2. For N = 2 electrons (in any dimension), the infimum in the Monge problem is attained and is unique. [Cotar, Friesecke, Klüppelberg (2013)].
- In dimension 1, for any N electrons, the infimum in the Monge problem is attained, and unique (after symmetrization). [Colombo, De Pascale, Di Marino (2015)].
- 4. For general dimension (including 3), and general *N*, the existence of a solution to the Monge problem is open.


1. Non-smoothness. Even in dimension 1 with N = 2 electrons, the co-motion functions are discontinuous.

- 1. Non-smoothness. Even in dimension 1 with N = 2 electrons, the co-motion functions are discontinuous.
- Non-uniqueness. In dimension 3 with N = 3 electrons, there exist solutions to DFT optimal transport which are not Monge solutions. [Pass (2013)].

- 1. Non-smoothness. Even in dimension 1 with N = 2 electrons, the co-motion functions are discontinuous.
- Non-uniqueness. In dimension 3 with N = 3 electrons, there exist solutions to DFT optimal transport which are not Monge solutions. [Pass (2013)].

Non-Coulombic costs

- 1. Non-smoothness. Even in dimension 1 with N = 2 electrons, the co-motion functions are discontinuous.
- Non-uniqueness. In dimension 3 with N = 3 electrons, there exist solutions to DFT optimal transport which are not Monge solutions. [Pass (2013)].

Non-Coulombic costs

1. No-solutions. There exist a cost such that in dimension 1 with N = 3 electrons, the Monge problem has no solution.

[Moameni, Pass (2017); Friesecke (2019); Gerolin, Kausamo, Rajala (2019)].

- 1. Non-smoothness. Even in dimension 1 with N = 2 electrons, the co-motion functions are discontinuous.
- Non-uniqueness. In dimension 3 with N = 3 electrons, there exist solutions to DFT optimal transport which are not Monge solutions. [Pass (2013)].

Non-Coulombic costs

1. No-solutions. There exist a cost such that in dimension 1 with N = 3 electrons, the Monge problem has no solution.

[Moameni, Pass (2017); Friesecke (2019); Gerolin, Kausamo, Rajala (2019)].

2. See [P15] and [DGN17] for the general theory of multi-marginal optimal transport.

Choose $f_1(x) := x, f_2, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $i = 2, \ldots, N$, the amount of ρ -mass between $f_i(x)$ and $f_{i+1}(x)$ is equal to 1: $\int_{f_i(x)}^{f_{i+1}(x)} \rho(x') dx' = 1$ for all x and i.

Choose $f_1(x) := x, f_2, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $i = 2, \ldots, N$, the amount of ρ -mass between $f_i(x)$ and $f_{i+1}(x)$ is equal to 1: $\int_{f_i(x)}^{f_{i+1}(x)} \rho(x') dx' = 1$ for all x and i.

In words, if the first electron is at $x_1 \sim \rho$,

Choose $f_1(x) := x, f_2, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $i = 2, \ldots, N$, the amount of ρ -mass between $f_i(x)$ and $f_{i+1}(x)$ is equal to 1: $\int_{f_i(x)}^{f_{i+1}(x)} \rho(x') dx' = 1$ for all x and i.

In words, if the first electron is at $x_1 \sim \rho$, then the remaining electrons are at $x_2 = f_2(x_1), \ldots, x_N = f_N(x_1)$

Choose $f_1(x) := x, f_2, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $i = 2, \ldots, N$, the amount of ρ -mass between $f_i(x)$ and $f_{i+1}(x)$ is equal to 1: $\int_{f_i(x)}^{f_{i+1}(x)} \rho(x') dx' = 1$ for all x and i.

In words, if the first electron is at $x_1 \sim \rho$, then the remaining electrons are at $x_2 = f_2(x_1), \ldots, x_N = f_N(x_1)$ such that each pair of neighbors (x_i, x_{i+1}) are separated by an equal amount of ρ -mass.

Choose $f_1(x) := x, f_2, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $i = 2, \ldots, N$, the amount of ρ -mass between $f_i(x)$ and $f_{i+1}(x)$ is equal to 1: $\int_{f_i(x)}^{f_{i+1}(x)} \rho(x') dx' = 1$ for all x and i.

In words, if the first electron is at $x_1 \sim \rho$, then the remaining electrons are at $x_2 = f_2(x_1), \ldots, x_N = f_N(x_1)$ such that each pair of neighbors (x_i, x_{i+1}) are separated by an equal amount of ρ -mass.

Explicitly, for
$$i = 2, ..., N$$
,

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} F_{\rho}^{-1} \left(F_{\rho}(x) + \frac{i-1}{N} \right) & \text{if } F_{\rho}(x) \le \frac{N-i+1}{N}, \\ F_{\rho}^{-1} \left(F_{\rho}(x) + \frac{i-1}{N} - 1 \right) & \text{if } F_{\rho}(x) > \frac{N-i+1}{N}, \end{cases}$$

where F_{ρ} is cumulative distribution function of ρ .

Choose $f_1(x) := x, f_2, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for each $i = 2, \ldots, N$, the amount of ρ -mass between $f_i(x)$ and $f_{i+1}(x)$ is equal to 1: $\int_{f_i(x)}^{f_{i+1}(x)} \rho(x') dx' = 1$ for all x and i.

In words, if the first electron is at $x_1 \sim \rho$, then the remaining electrons are at $x_2 = f_2(x_1), \ldots, x_N = f_N(x_1)$ such that each pair of neighbors (x_i, x_{i+1}) are separated by an equal amount of ρ -mass.

Explicitly, for
$$i = 2, ..., N$$
,

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} F_{\rho}^{-1} \left(F_{\rho}(x) + \frac{i-1}{N}\right) & \text{if } F_{\rho}(x) \leq \frac{N-i+1}{N}, \\ F_{\rho}^{-1} \left(F_{\rho}(x) + \frac{i-1}{N} - 1\right) & \text{if } F_{\rho}(x) > \frac{N-i+1}{N}, \end{cases}$$

where F_{ρ} is cumulative distribution function of ρ .

Group law.
$$f_i = \underbrace{f_2 \circ \cdots \circ f_2}_{i-1 \text{ times}}$$
 for $i = 2, \dots, N$.

Numerical methods

1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).

- 1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).
- 2. Duality (small N).

- 1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).
- 2. Duality (small N).
- 3. Multi-marginal Sinkhorn algorithm/entropic regularization (small *N*).

- 1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).
- 2. Duality (small N).
- 3. Multi-marginal Sinkhorn algorithm/entropic regularization (small *N*).
- 4. Semidefinite convex relaxation (large N).

- 1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).
- 2. Duality (small N).
- 3. Multi-marginal Sinkhorn algorithm/entropic regularization (small *N*).
- 4. Semidefinite convex relaxation (large N).
- 5. Langevin dynamics with moment constraints (large N).

- 1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).
- 2. Duality (small N).
- 3. Multi-marginal Sinkhorn algorithm/entropic regularization (small *N*).
- 4. Semidefinite convex relaxation (large N).
- 5. Langevin dynamics with moment constraints (large N).
- 6. Genetic column generation (large N).

- 1. Discretize problem and use linear programming (small N).
- 2. Duality (small N).
- 3. Multi-marginal Sinkhorn algorithm/entropic regularization (small *N*).
- 4. Semidefinite convex relaxation (large N).
- 5. Langevin dynamics with moment constraints (large N).
- 6. Genetic column generation (large N).

• See Section 3 in [FGG-G22] for more information.

Monge solution:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N$$

with $(f_i)_{\sharp}\rho = \rho$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Monge solution:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N$$

with $(f_i)_{\sharp}\rho = \rho$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Quasi-Monge solution: [Friesecke, Vögler (2018)]

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha(x) \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N,$$

with α any probability measure on \mathbb{R}^3 , and $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(f_i)_{\sharp} \alpha = rac{
ho}{N} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Monge solution:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N$$

with $(f_i)_{\sharp}\rho = \rho$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Quasi-Monge solution: [Friesecke, Vögler (2018)]

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha(x) \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x\right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N,$$

with α any probability measure on \mathbb{R}^3 , and $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(f_i)_{\sharp} \alpha = rac{
ho}{N} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Note. If $\alpha = \frac{\rho}{N}$ then quasi-Monge is actually Monge.

Symmetric solutions

The wave function Ψ is antisymmetric so solutions π to the DFT optimal transport problem can be assumed to be symmetric:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) \qquad \mapsto \qquad \frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\mathrm{d}\pi(\sigma(r_1),\ldots,\sigma(r_N)),$$

where the sum is over all permutations σ of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$.

The wave function Ψ is antisymmetric so solutions π to the DFT optimal transport problem can be assumed to be symmetric:

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(r_1,\ldots,r_N) \qquad \mapsto \qquad \frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\mathrm{d}\pi(\sigma(r_1),\ldots,\sigma(r_N)),$$

where the sum is over all permutations σ of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$.

In particular, Monge solutions can be assumed to by symmetric:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x \mapsto \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)}{N} \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_{\sigma(i)} - f_{\sigma(i)}(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

with $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (f_i)_{\sharp} \rho = \rho$ (weaker condition)

Quasi-Monge symmetric solutions

A quasi-Monge solution

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha(x) \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x\right] \, \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \, \mathrm{d}r_N,$$

with α any probability measure on \mathbb{R}^3 , and $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(f_i)_{\sharp} \alpha = \frac{\rho}{N} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, N,$$

Quasi-Monge symmetric solutions

A quasi-Monge solution

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha(x) \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_i - f_i(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x\right] \, \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \, \mathrm{d}r_N,$$

with α any probability measure on \mathbb{R}^3 , and $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(f_i)_{\sharp} \alpha = \frac{\rho}{N} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, N,$$

becomes a symmetric quasi-Monge solution:

$$\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \alpha(x) \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(r_{\sigma(i)} - f_{\sigma(i)}(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x \right] \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_N,$$
with α any probability measure on \mathbb{R}^3 , and $f_1, \ldots, f_N : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(f_i)_{\sharp}lpha=rac{
ho}{N}$$
 (weaker condition)

Let ρ be the uniform measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Let ρ be the uniform measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

A coupling π of N electrons is

$$\pi = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_1,\dots,i_N} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_N}} \right)$$

satisfying the marginal constraint

$$\sum_{i_j,j\neq m} \pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_N} = \rho_m = \frac{1}{\ell} \quad \forall \ m \in \{1,\ldots,\ell\}.$$

Let ρ be the uniform measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

A coupling π of N electrons is

$$\pi = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_1,\ldots,i_N} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_N}} \right)$$

satisfying the marginal constraint

$$\sum_{i_j, j \neq m} \pi_{i_1, \dots, i_N} = \rho_m = \frac{1}{\ell} \quad \forall \ m \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}.$$

The optimization problem is

$$\min_{\pi} \sum_{i_1,...,i_N} \left(\sum_{1 \le k < m \le N} \frac{1}{|a_{i_k} - a_{i_m}|} \right) \pi_{i_1,...,i_N}.$$

No optimal Monge solutions

Symmetric Monge solution:

 $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\delta_{f_1(a_{\sigma(k)})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{f_N(a_{\sigma(k)})} \right) \text{ where } f_1, \dots, f_N \text{ are permutations of } \{a_1, \dots, a_\ell\}.$
Symmetric Monge solution:

 $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\delta_{f_1(a_{\sigma(k)})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{f_N(a_{\sigma(k)})} \right) \text{ where } f_1, \dots, f_N \text{ are permutations of } \{a_1, \dots, a_\ell\}.$

• *N* = 2: The Birkhoff-von Neumann theorem shows that there is always an optimal Monge solution.

Symmetric Monge solution:

 $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\delta_{f_1(a_{\sigma(k)})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{f_N(a_{\sigma(k)})} \right) \text{ where } f_1, \dots, f_N \text{ are permutations of } \{a_1, \dots, a_\ell\}.$

- *N* = 2: The Birkhoff-von Neumann theorem shows that there is always an optimal Monge solution.
- N = 3: Friesecke (2018) showed that there isn't necessarily an optimal Monge solution (already with ℓ = 3).

Let ρ be any probability measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$.

Let ρ be any probability measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$.

Symmetric quasi-Monge solution:

$$\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(a_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(a_{\sigma(k)})}\right),$$

where f_1, \ldots, f_N are permutations of $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}$, and $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^\ell$ are nonnegative numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \alpha_k \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{f_i(a_k)} \right) = \rho.$$

Let ρ be any probability measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$.

Symmetric quasi-Monge solution:

$$\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(a_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(a_{\sigma(k)})}\right),$$

where f_1, \ldots, f_N are permutations of $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}$, and $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^\ell$ are nonnegative numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \alpha_k \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{f_i(a_k)} \right) = \rho.$$

Note. The assumption that ρ is uniform is no longer needed.

Theorem [Friesecke, Vögler (2018)]

Let ρ be any probability measure on discrete atoms $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

 $\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\right).$

 $\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\right).$

Note. The dimension of general measures supported on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}^N$ is ℓ^N ,

 $\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\right).$

Note. The dimension of general measures supported on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}^N$ is ℓ^N , but the dimension of quasi-Monge measures is only $\ell \cdot (N+1)$,

 $\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\right).$

Note. The dimension of general measures supported on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}^N$ is ℓ^N , but the dimension of quasi-Monge measures is only $\ell \cdot (N+1)$, because there are ℓ variables for $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$,

 $\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\right).$

Note. The dimension of general measures supported on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}^N$ is ℓ^N , but the dimension of quasi-Monge measures is only $\ell \cdot (N+1)$, because there are ℓ variables for $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$, and for each $k = 1, \ldots, \ell$, there are N variables $f_1(a_k), \ldots, f_N(a_k)$.

 $\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{f_1(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{f_N(\boldsymbol{a}_{\sigma(k)})}\right).$

Note. The dimension of general measures supported on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\ell\}^N$ is ℓ^N , but the dimension of quasi-Monge measures is only $\ell \cdot (N+1)$, because there are ℓ variables for $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$, and for each $k = 1, \ldots, \ell$, there are N variables $f_1(a_k), \ldots, f_N(a_k)$.

There is more to the story...

• The space of symmetric couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_1,...,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)},...,i_{\sigma(N)}} \left(\delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right) \text{ forms a polytope.}$

- The space of symmetric couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,i_{\sigma(N)}} \left(\delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right) \text{ forms a polytope.}$
- The extreme points are symmetric Monge couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right).$

- The space of symmetric couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_1,...,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)},...,i_{\sigma(N)}} \left(\delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right) \text{ forms a polytope.}$
- The extreme points are symmetric Monge couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right).$
- The marginal constraints

 $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_j, j \neq m} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(N)}} = \rho_m \ \forall \ m \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \text{ correspond}$ to intersecting the polytope with $(\ell - 1)$ hyperplanes.

- The space of symmetric couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_1,...,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)},...,i_{\sigma(N)}} \left(\delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right) \text{ forms a polytope.}$
- The extreme points are symmetric Monge couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right).$
- The marginal constraints $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_j, j \neq m} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(N)}} = \rho_m \forall m \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \text{ correspond}$ to intersecting the polytope with $(\ell - 1)$ hyperplanes.
- Every extreme point in the intersected polytope can be written as a convex combination of just l symmetric Monge coupling, so it is a symmetric quasi-Monge coupling

$$\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_{k}\left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{k}}}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(N)}}}\right).$$

- The space of symmetric couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_1,...,i_N=1}^{\ell} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)},...,i_{\sigma(N)}} \left(\delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{a_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right) \text{ forms a polytope.}$
- The extreme points are symmetric Monge couplings $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(1)}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i_{\sigma(N)}}} \right).$
- The marginal constraints $\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_j, j \neq m} \pi_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(N)}} = \rho_m \forall m \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \text{ correspond}$ to intersecting the polytope with $(\ell - 1)$ hyperplanes.
- Every extreme point in the intersected polytope can be written as a convex combination of just l symmetric Monge coupling, so it is a symmetric quasi-Monge coupling

$$\frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_k\left(\delta_{a_{j_k}\atop \sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\delta_{a_{j_k}\atop \sigma(N)}\right).$$

• Optimal values of linear objectives are attained at extreme points.

[DGN17] Simone Di Marino , Augusto Gerolin and Luca Nenna. **Optimal transportation theory with repulsive costs**, From the book Topological Optimization and Optimal Transport (2017).

[FGG-G22] Gero Friesecke, Augusto Gerolin, Paola Gori-Giorgi. The Strong-Interaction Limit of Density Functional Theory, Density Functional Theory, pages 183266, Springer (2022).

[HT22] Trygve Helgaker, Andrew M. Teale. Lieb variation principle in density-functional theory. The physics and mathematics of Elliott Lieb—the 90th anniversary. Vol. I, 527559. EMS Press, Berlin, (2022).

[P15] Brendan Pass. Multi-marginal optimal transport: theory and applications, ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 49 (2015)
1771-1790. (Special issue on "Optimal transport in applied mathematics.")

Some mathematical aspects of density functional theory

Foundations of DFT

The map

$$egin{aligned} & v\mapsto \mathcal{H}(v):=-\sum_{i=1}^N\Delta_i+\sum_{i=1}^Nv(r_i)+\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq N}rac{1}{|r_i-r_j|} \end{aligned}$$

is injective.

The map

$$v \mapsto H(v) := -\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^N v(r_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|}$$

is injective.

Hohenberg-Kohn (1964): The map $v \mapsto \rho_v$ is injective, where

$$\rho_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) := \rho_{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) := \int |\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_2 \cdots \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_N$$

with Ψ the ground state of H(v).

If ρ is ground state representable, then

 $\rho \mapsto v_{\rho} \mapsto H(v_{\rho}) \mapsto \Psi_{\rho}$ where Ψ_{ρ} is the ground state of $H(v_{\rho})$.

In words: The one-electron marginal ρ uniquely determines the multi-electron ground state Ψ . If ρ is ground state representable, then

 $\rho \mapsto v_{\rho} \mapsto H(v_{\rho}) \mapsto \Psi_{\rho}$ where Ψ_{ρ} is the ground state of $H(v_{\rho})$.

In words: The one-electron marginal ρ uniquely determines the multi-electron ground state Ψ .

In particular, $E = \inf_{\Psi} \langle \Psi, H\Psi \rangle$ is a function of *just* ρ .

Let ρ be ground state representable and define the $\mathit{universal}$ functional

$$F_{\mathsf{HK}}(\rho) := E(v_{\rho}) - \langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle$$
 where $\langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle := \int v_{\rho}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\rho(x).$

Let ρ be ground state representable and define the *universal* functional

$$F_{\mathsf{HK}}(\rho) := E(v_{\rho}) - \langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle := \int v_{\rho}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\rho(x).$$

Then, for any v such that H(v) has a ground state,

 $E(v) = \inf \{F_{HK}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \text{ is ground state representable} \}.$

Let ρ be ground state representable and define the *universal* functional

$$F_{\mathsf{HK}}(\rho) := E(v_{\rho}) - \langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle$$
 where $\langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle := \int v_{\rho}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\rho(x).$

Then, for any v such that H(v) has a ground state,

 $E(v) = \inf \{F_{HK}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \text{ is ground state representable} \}.$

Problem 1. The form of F_{HK} is unknown, and F_{HK} is non-convex.

Let ρ be ground state representable and define the *universal* functional

$$F_{\mathsf{HK}}(\rho) := E(v_{\rho}) - \langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle$$
 where $\langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle := \int v_{\rho}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\rho(x).$

Then, for any v such that H(v) has a ground state,

 $E(v) = \inf \{F_{HK}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \text{ is ground state representable} \}.$

Problem 1. The form of F_{HK} is unknown, and F_{HK} is non-convex. **Problem 2.** The form of the (non convex) space of ground state representable densities is unknown.

Let ρ be ground state representable and define the *universal* functional

$$F_{\mathsf{HK}}(\rho) := E(v_{\rho}) - \langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle$$
 where $\langle \rho, v_{\rho} \rangle := \int v_{\rho}(x) \, \mathrm{d}\rho(x).$

Then, for any v such that H(v) has a ground state,

 $E(v) = \inf \{F_{HK}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \text{ is ground state representable} \}.$

Problem 1. The form of F_{HK} is unknown, and F_{HK} is non-convex. **Problem 2.** The form of the (non convex) space of ground state representable densities is unknown.

Problem 3. The form of the space of potentials whose corresponding Hamiltonian has a ground state is unknown.

Let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

Let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \le i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \,\mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

Then, for any $v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

 $E(v) = \inf \{F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi\}$

Let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \le i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}.$$

Then, for any $v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}),$

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathbf{v}) = \inf \{ \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, \mathbf{v} \rangle : \rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi \}$$

Problem 1. The form of F_{LL} is unknown, and F_{LL} is non-convex.
Levy-Lieb constrained-search functional and variational principle

Let

$$\begin{split} F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) &:= \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi}=\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}. \\ \text{Then, for any } v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}), \\ E(v) &= \inf \left\{ F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi \right\} \end{split}$$

Problem 1. The form of F_{LL} is unknown, and F_{LL} is non-convex. **No problem 2.** The space $\{\rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi\}$ is convex and

easy to describe.

Levy-Lieb constrained-search functional and variational principle

Let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \le i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}.$$

Then, for any $v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}),$
$$F(v) = \inf \{F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho = \rho_{\mathsf{LL}} \text{ for some } \mathbb{M}\}$$

$$E(\mathbf{v}) = \inf \{F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, \mathbf{v} \rangle : \rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi\}$$

Problem 1. The form of F_{LL} is unknown, and F_{LL} is non-convex. **No problem 2.** The space $\{\rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi\}$ is convex and

easy to describe.

No problem 3. The space $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is easy to describe.

Levy-Lieb constrained-search functional and variational principle

Let

$$F_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) := \left\{ \inf_{\Psi: \rho_{\Psi} = \rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_{i}\Psi(r)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}r + \sum_{1 \le i < j < N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\Psi(r)|^{2}}{|r_{i} - r_{j}|} \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}.$$

Then, for any $v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}),$

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{v}) = \inf \{ \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{LL}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, \mathsf{v} \rangle : \rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi \}$$

Problem 1. The form of F_{LL} is unknown, and F_{LL} is non-convex.

No problem 2. The space $\{\rho = \rho_{\Psi} \text{ for some } \Psi\}$ is convex and easy to describe.

No problem 3. The space $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is easy to describe. **Note.** When ρ is ground state representable, $F_{LL}(\rho) = F_{HK}(\rho)$.

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.]

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.] Define, by duality,

 $F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) := \sup\{E(\nu) - \langle \nu, \rho \rangle : \nu \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.] Define, by duality,

$$F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) := \sup\{E(v) - \langle v, \rho \rangle : v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

Then,

$$E(v) = \inf \left\{ F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \in L^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \right\}.$$

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.] Define, by duality,

$$F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) := \sup\{E(v) - \langle v, \rho \rangle : v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

Then,

$$E(v) = \inf \left\{ F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \in L^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \right\}.$$

Problem 1. The form of F_L is still unknown, but F_L is convex.

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.] Define, by duality,

$$F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) := \sup\{E(v) - \langle v, \rho \rangle : v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

Then,

$$E(v) = \inf \left\{ F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \in L^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \right\}.$$

Problem 1. The form of F_{L} is still unknown, but F_{L} is convex. **No problem 2.** The space $L^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$ is easy to describe.

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.] Define, by duality,

$$F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) := \sup\{E(v) - \langle v, \rho \rangle : v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

Then,

$$E(v) = \inf \left\{ F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \in L^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \right\}.$$

Problem 1. The form of F_L is still unknown, but F_L is convex. **No problem 2.** The space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is easy to describe. **No problem 3.** The space $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is easy to describe.

Observation. The map $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ni v \mapsto E(v)$ is strictly concave.

[**Proof of HK Theorem.** Observation + the fact $\nabla E(v) = \rho_{\Psi}$.] Define, by duality,

$$F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) := \sup\{E(v) - \langle v, \rho \rangle : v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

Then,

$$E(v) = \inf \left\{ F_{\mathsf{L}}(\rho) + \langle \rho, v \rangle : \rho \in L^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \right\}.$$

Problem 1. The form of F_L is still unknown, but F_L is convex. **No problem 2.** The space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is easy to describe. **No problem 3.** The space $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is easy to describe. **Note.** When $\rho = \rho_{\Psi}$ for some Ψ , $F_L(\rho) = F_{LL}(\rho)$.