

# Weak Optimal Transport

Nathaël Gozlan

Université de Paris  
Kantorovich Initiative Seminar  
27 january 2022

# Outline

*Works in collaboration with P. Choné, M. Fathi, N. Juillet, F. Kramarz, M. Prod'homme, C. Roberto, P-M Samson, Y. Shu and P. Tetali*

- I - Weak Optimal Transport : examples and general results
- II - WOT and contraction properties of Brenier map
- III - WOT and concentration of measure
- IV - One word on WOT with unnormalized kernels

# Weak Optimal Transport : examples and general results

# Optimal Transport - classical definition

Let  $\omega : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a measurable function on a Polish space  $(E, d)$ .

## Definition

The optimal transport cost between two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\omega(\nu, \mu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \iint_{E \times E} \omega(x, y) d\pi(x, y),$$

where  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  denotes the set of probability measures  $\pi$  on  $E \times E$  having  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as marginals (called 'transport plans between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ ').

Equivalently

$$\mathcal{T}_\omega(\nu, \mu) = \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[\omega(X, Y)]$$

**Classical Examples** : Kantorovich distances of order  $p \geq 1$

$$W_p^p(\nu, \mu) = \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[d^p(X, Y)].$$

# Weak Optimal Transport

*G.-Roberto-Samson-Tetali (2017), Alibert-Bouchitté-Champion (2018)*

Let  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  be a transport plan between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  written in disintegrated form

$$d\pi(x, y) = d\mu(x)dp_x(y),$$

with  $x \mapsto p_x$  a transition kernel ( $\mu$  a.s unique).

If  $\omega : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a cost function then

$$\iint \omega(x, y) d\pi(x, y) = \int \left( \int \omega(x, y) dp_x(y) \right) d\mu(x).$$

In other words, transports of mass coming from  $x$  are penalized through their mean cost :  $\int \omega(x, y) dp_x(y)$ .

**Idea of WOT** :introduce more general penalizations.

# Weak Optimal Transport

Let  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  denote the set of all probability measures on  $E$ .

## Definition

Let  $c : E \times \mathcal{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ ; the weak optimal transport cost  $\mathcal{T}_c(\nu|\mu)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\nu|\mu) = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int c(x, p_x) d\mu(x),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)$  is the set of all probability kernels  $p$  such that  $\mu p = \nu$ .

Classical transport :

$$c(x, p) = \int \omega(x, y) dp(y).$$

In all useful examples, the function  $c$  is convex in  $p$ .

# Comments

- First examples go back to the works of K. Marton (1996) on concentration of measure.
- The framework of weak transport contains many variants of the transport problem : Schrödinger transport problem, martingale transport problem, semi-martingale transport problem, . . .
- General tools (duality, cyclical monotonicity) have been developed to study weak transport problems. See Backhoff-Veraguas, Beiglböck, Pammer (2019).

Nice survey paper by Backhoff-Veraguas and Pammer (2020).

# Examples

(1) **Barycentric transport** :  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$c(x, p) = \theta \left( x - \int y dp(y) \right),$$

where  $\theta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  (convex).

We will denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\theta(\nu|\mu)$  the corresponding weak optimal transport cost.

(2) **Transport with martingale constraints** :  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$c(x, p) = \begin{cases} \int \omega(x, y) dp(y) & \text{if } \int y dp(y) = x \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



# Examples

## (3) Entropic regularized transport / Schrödinger bridges :

Let  $R$  be a reference probability measure on  $E \times E$

$$\mathcal{T}_H(\nu|\mu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} H(\pi|R),$$

where  $H$  is the relative entropy defined by

$$H(\pi|R) = \int \log \frac{d\pi}{dR} d\pi$$

if  $\pi \ll R$  (and  $+\infty$  otherwise).

Writing  $d\pi(x, y) = d\mu(x)dp_x(y)$  and  $dR(x, y) = dm(x)dr_x(y)$ , one gets

$$H(\pi|R) = H(\mu|m) + \int H(p_x|r_x) d\mu(x) := H(\mu|m) + \int c(x, p_x) d\mu(x)$$

'Zero noise limit' : Mikami, Thieullen, Léonard, Carlier-Duval-Peyré,...

Applications : Cutturi, Peyré,...

Functional inequalities : Gentil-Léonard-Ripani, Conforti-Ripani, Gigli-Tamanini,...

(4) ...

# A general duality result

## Theorem (Backhoff-Veraguas - Beiglboeck - Pammer (2018))

If  $c : E \times \mathcal{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is jointly lower semi-continuous, lower bounded and convex in  $p$ , then

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\nu|\mu) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_b(E)} \left\{ \int R_c f \, d\mu - \int f \, d\nu \right\}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$$

with

$$R_c f(x) = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \left\{ \int f \, dp + c(x, p) \right\}, \quad x \in E.$$

Improves G.-Roberto-Samson-Tetali (2017) and Alibert-Bouchitté-Champion (2018).

Links with backward linear mass transfers Bowles-Ghoussoub (2019).

Duality holds under more general conditions on the cost function :  $\mu, \nu$  have finite  $k$ -th moment and  $c$  is lower semicontinuous w.r.t  $W_k$  topology,  $k \geq 1$ .

# Duality for barycentric transport costs

Transport costs of the form  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$  are naturally related to convex functions :

# Duality for barycentric transport costs

Transport costs of the form  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$  are naturally related to convex functions :

## Corollary

Let  $\theta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function and  $c(x, p) = \theta(x - \int y p(dy))$ ; then

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}(\nu|\mu) = \sup_{\varphi} \left\{ \int Q\varphi d\mu - \int \varphi d\nu \right\},$$

where the supremum runs over the set of all **convex** functions bounded from below and

$$Q\varphi(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{\varphi(y) + \theta(x - y)\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# A proof of Strassen theorem using barycentric $L_1$ cost

Notation :  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of probability measures with a finite first moment.

## Definition

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;  $\mu$  is dominated by  $\nu$  in the convex order, denoted by  $\mu \leq_c \nu$ , if

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int f d\nu, \quad \text{for all convex function } f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

## Theorem (Strassen (1965))

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; the following propositions are equivalent

- (1)  $\mu \leq_c \nu$ ,
- (2) there exists a martingale  $(X_0, X_1)$  such that  $X_0 \sim \mu$  and  $X_1 \sim \nu$ .

The implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) comes from Jensen inequality.

# A proof of Strassen theorem using barycentric $L_1$ cost

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be some norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; consider

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int \left\| x - \int y \rho_x(dy) \right\| \mu(dx)$$

# A proof of Strassen theorem using barycentric $L_1$ cost

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be some norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; consider

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) &= \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int \left\| x - \int y \rho_x(dy) \right\| \mu(dx) \\ &= \inf_{(X_0, X_1), X_0 \sim \mu, X_1 \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[\|X_0 - \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_0]\|].\end{aligned}$$

# A proof of Strassen theorem using barycentric $L_1$ cost

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be some norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; consider

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) &= \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int \left\| x - \int y \rho_x(dy) \right\| \mu(dx) \\ &= \inf_{(X_0, X_1), X_0 \sim \mu, X_1 \sim \nu} \mathbb{E} [\|X_0 - \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_0]\|].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = 0$  if and only if there exists a **martingale**  $(X_i)_{i \in \{0,1\}}$  with marginals  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .



# A proof of Strassen theorem using barycentric $L_1$ cost

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be some norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; consider

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) &= \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int \left\| x - \int y \rho_x(dy) \right\| \mu(dx) \\ &= \inf_{(X_0, X_1), X_0 \sim \mu, X_1 \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[\|X_0 - \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_0]\|].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = 0$  if and only if there exists a martingale  $(X_i)_{i \in \{0,1\}}$  with marginals  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

For the cost  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1$  the duality specializes to

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = \sup_{\varphi} \left\{ \int \varphi d\mu - \int \varphi d\nu \right\},$$

where the supremum runs over the set of all **1-Lipschitz and convex** functions.

# A proof of Strassen theorem using barycentric $L_1$ cost

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be some norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; consider

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) &= \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int \left\| x - \int y \rho_x(dy) \right\| \mu(dx) \\ &= \inf_{(X_0, X_1), X_0 \sim \mu, X_1 \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[\|X_0 - \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_0]\|].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = 0$  if and only if there exists a martingale  $(X_i)_{i \in \{0,1\}}$  with marginals  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

For the cost  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1$  the duality specializes to

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = \sup_{\varphi} \left\{ \int \varphi d\mu - \int \varphi d\nu \right\},$$

where the supremum runs over the set of all 1-Lipschitz and convex functions.

Thus, if  $\mu \leq_c \nu$ , then

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\nu|\mu) = \sup_{\varphi} \left\{ \int \varphi d\mu - \int \varphi d\nu \right\} = 0$$

and so there exists a martingale  $(X_0, X_1)$  with marginals  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

## II - WOT and contraction properties of the Brenier map

# Quadratic barycentric cost

$E = \mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with the Euclidean norm  $|\cdot|$ .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu|\mu) &= \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mu, \nu)} \int \left| x - \int y dp_x(y) \right|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &= \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[|X - \mathbb{E}[Y|X]|^2],\end{aligned}$$

the weak transport cost associated to the cost function

$$c(x, p) = \left| x - \int y dp(y) \right|^2.$$

By Jensen,

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu|\mu) \leq W_2^2(\nu, \mu).$$

**Goal** : characterize optimal transport plan for  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2$ .

**Remark** : if  $\mu \leq_c \nu$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu|\mu) = 0$  and any martingale coupling between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is optimal. What about the general case?

# Brenier Theorem

The following result characterizes optimal transport plans for the cost function

$$\omega(x, y) = |y - x|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

## Theorem (Brenier (1991))

If  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue and if  $\int |x|^2 d\mu(x) < +\infty$  and  $\int |y|^2 d\nu(y) < +\infty$ , then there exists a unique optimal transport plan  $\pi^\circ$ , such that

$$W_2^2(\nu, \mu) = \iint |y - x|^2 d\pi^\circ(x, y).$$

Moreover  $\pi^\circ$  has the following structure : there exists a *convex* function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that  $\pi^\circ = \text{Law}(X, \nabla\phi(X))$ , with  $X \sim \mu$  and so

$$W_2^2(\nu, \mu) = \int |\nabla\phi(x) - x|^2 d\mu(x).$$

# Brenier-Strassen couplings

**Elementary remark** : it is always possible to compose a deterministic transport and a martingale transport to couple two arbitrary probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

Indeed if  $(X, Y)$  is an arbitrary coupling then letting  $\bar{X} = \mathbb{E}[Y|X]$ , the coupling  $(X, \bar{X})$  is deterministic and  $(\bar{X}, Y)$  is a martingale.

## Definition

A coupling  $(X, Y)$  between  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is of the Brenier-Strassen type if

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = \nabla\phi(X) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

with  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a convex function of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ .

**Remark** : the independent coupling is of the Brenier-Strassen type.

# Main Results

*G.-Juliet (2020) / Alfonsi-Corbetta-Jourdain (2020)*  
*Dimension 1 : G.-Roberto-Samson-Shu-Tetali (2018)*

Let  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the set of probability measures with a finite second moment.

## Theorem 1 (Interpretation of $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2$ )

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; define  $B_\nu = \{\eta \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \eta \leq_c \nu\}$ .

There exists a unique probability measure  $\bar{\mu} \in B_\nu$  such that

$$W_2(\bar{\mu}, \mu) = \inf_{\eta \in B_\nu} W_2(\eta, \mu).$$

Moreover

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu|\mu) = W_2^2(\bar{\mu}, \mu).$$

**Remark :** It is also possible to define the projection  $\bar{\nu}$  of  $\nu$  onto  $\{\eta : \mu \leq_c \eta\}$ .

See Alfonsi - Corbetta - Jourdain (2020) and more recently Kim - Ruan (2021)

# Main Results

*G.-Juillet (2020) / Backhoff-Veraguas - Beiglboeck - Pammer (2019)*

## Theorem 2 (Optimal plans for $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2$ )

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;

- (1) There exists a convex function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  such that

$$\bar{\mu} = \nabla\phi\#\mu.$$

Moreover  $\nabla\phi$  is 1-Lipschitz.

- (2) A coupling  $(X, Y)$  between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is optimal for  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu|\mu)$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = \nabla\phi(X)$  a.s.



## Remark

Optimal transport between  $\mu$  and its projection  $\bar{\mu}$  is thus more regular than in the generic case : it is automatically given by a Lipschitz continuous transport map without any particular assumption on  $\mu$ .

# Examples

## Theorem

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nu = \sum_{i=0}^k p_i \delta_{y_i}$  with  $p_i \geq 0$  and  $y_0, \dots, y_k$  affinely independent points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then there exists some  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\bar{\mu} = T_{\#}\mu, \quad \text{with} \quad T(x) = \text{Proj}_{\Delta}(x + c),$$

where  $\Delta$  is the convex hull of  $\{y_0, \dots, y_k\}$  and  $\text{Proj}_{\Delta}$  denotes the orthogonal projection on  $\Delta$ .

Other example : In dimension 1, Alfonsi-Corbetta-Jourdain (2020) and Backhoff-Veraguas - Beiglboeck - Pammer (2020) obtained a semi-explicit formula for the transport map  $T$  sending  $\mu$  on  $\bar{\mu}$ .

# Characterization of the contractivity of the Brenier map

The following result is a consequence of our main results :

## Corollary 1 (G.-Juillet (2020), Fathi-G.-Prod'Homme (2020))

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; the following propositions are equivalent

- (1) There exists  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $\mathcal{C}^1$  such that  $\nu = \nabla\phi_{\#}\mu$  with  $\nabla\phi$  1-Lipschitz ;
- (2)  $\bar{\mu} = \nu$  ;
- (3)  $W_2^2(\nu, \mu) = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu|\mu)$ .

# Caffarelli contraction theorem

## Theorem (Caffarelli (2000))

If  $\gamma$  is the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d\nu(y) = e^{-V(y)} dy$  is a probability measure associated to a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  smooth function  $V$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{Hess } V \geq \text{Id}$ , then there exists a convex function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  such that  $\nu = \nabla\phi_{\#}\gamma$  and such that  $\nabla\phi$  is 1-Lipschitz.

In other words, the Brenier map from  $\gamma$  to  $\nu$  is a contraction.

Original proof based on the Monge-Ampère equation satisfied by  $\phi$ .

Generalizations by Kolesnikov ('10), Kim-Milman ('12), Colombo-Figalli-Jhaveri ('17).

# Equivalent formulation of Caffarelli theorem

## Corollary (Equivalent formulation of Caffarelli theorem)

If  $\gamma$  is the standard gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d\nu(y) = e^{-V(y)} dy$ , with  $\text{Hess } V \geq \text{Id}$ , then

$$\bar{\gamma} = \nu.$$

In a joint paper with M. Fathi and M. Prod'Homme (2020), we obtained a new proof of Caffarelli theorem by directly showing that if  $d\nu(y) = e^{-V(y)} dy$ , with  $\text{Hess } V \geq \text{Id}$ , then

$$W_2^2(\nu, \gamma) \leq W_2^2(\eta, \gamma), \quad \forall \eta \leq_c \nu.$$

Our proof relies on entropic regularization and Prekopa-Leindler inequality.

# Caffarelli contraction theorem - Example of application

The standard Gaussian measure has the following dimension free concentration property :

## Theorem

If  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are independent standard Gaussian random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for any function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $L$ -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm on  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k$ , it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, \dots, X_k) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_k)]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/(2L^2)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

# Caffarelli contraction theorem - Example of application

The standard Gaussian measure has the following dimension free concentration property :

## Theorem

If  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are independent standard Gaussian random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for any function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $L$ -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm on  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k$ , it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, \dots, X_k) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_k)]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/(2L^2)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

## Corollary

If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  are i.i.d random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  distributed according to a probability  $\nu$  satisfying the assumptions of Caffarelli theorem, then for any function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $L$ -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|g(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - \mathbb{E}[g(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/(2L^2)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

# Caffarelli contraction theorem - Example of application

The standard Gaussian measure has the following dimension free concentration property :

## Theorem

If  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are independent standard Gaussian random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for any function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $L$ -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm on  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k$ , it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, \dots, X_k) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_k)]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/(2L^2)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

## Corollary

If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  are i.i.d random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  distributed according to a probability  $\nu$  satisfying the assumptions of Caffarelli theorem, then for any function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $L$ -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|g(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - \mathbb{E}[g(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/(2L^2)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

## Proof.

Apply the Gaussian concentration inequality to  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g(\nabla\phi(x_1), \dots, \nabla\phi(x_k))$  where  $\nabla\phi$  is the Brenier map between  $\gamma$  and  $\nu$ . □



# Caffarelli contraction theorem

Numerous consequences in the field of functional inequalities.

**Example :** the standard Gaussian measure  $\gamma$  satisfies the log-Sobolev inequality (Gross (1975)) :

$$(LSI) \quad \text{Ent}_\gamma(f^2) \leq 2 \int |\nabla f|^2 d\gamma, \quad \forall f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathcal{C}^1$$

If  $d\nu(y) = e^{-V(y)} dy$  with  $\text{Hess } V \geq \text{Id}$ , then according to Caffarelli Theorem  $\nu = \nabla\phi\#\gamma$  with  $\nabla\phi$  1-Lispchitz.

Therefore, applying (LSI) to  $f = g \circ \nabla\phi$  yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}_\nu(g^2) &\leq 2 \int |\text{Hess } \phi(x) \cdot \nabla g(\nabla\phi(x))|^2 d\gamma(x), \quad \forall f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathcal{C}^1 \\ &\leq 2 \int |\nabla g(y)|^2 d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\nu$  satisfies (LSI) : one recovers the Bakry-Emery criterion (with the good constant)

### III - WOT and concentration of measure

# Concentration of measure

## Definition

One says that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies the dimension free gaussian concentration property if there exist  $a, b > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$  and for all function  $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  1-Lipschitz (w.r.t to Euclidean norm), it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X_1, \dots, X_k) \geq m(f) + t) \leq e^{-b(t-a)^2}, \quad \forall t \geq a,$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are i.i.d of law  $\mu$  and  $m(f)$  is the median of  $f(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ .

Examples :

- This property is satisfied by the standard Gaussian measure  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with constants  $b = 1/2$  and  $a = 0$ .  
This result goes back to the Borell-Sudakov-Tsirelson isoperimetric theorem for the Gauss space.
- More generally, if  $d\mu = e^{-V} dx$  with  $\text{Hess } V \geq c\text{Id}$ , with  $c > 0$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies the dimension free concentration property with constant  $b = c/2$  and  $a = 0$ .  
This is for instance a consequence of the Caffarelli contraction theorem.
- Many methods were proposed to show this type of concentration inequalities for more general probability measures : logarithmic Sobolev inequality, transport entropy inequality, Brunn-Minkowski inequality, ...

# Convex concentration of measure

## Theorem

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $b > 0$ ; the following are equivalent

- (1) there exists  $a \geq 0$  such that  $\mu$  satisfies the dimension free gaussian concentration property with constants  $a, b$ ,
- (2)  $\mu$  satisfies the  $\mathbb{T}_2$  transport-entropy inequality

$$W_2^2(\nu, \mu) \leq \frac{1}{b} H(\nu|\mu), \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is due to Marton and Talagrand ( $a = \sqrt{(\log 2)/b}$ )

The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) (G. 09) relies on Large deviation theory (Sanov Theorem).

A probability measure satisfying the  $\mathbb{T}_2$  transport-entropy inequality has necessarily a connected support. This excludes in particular discrete measures...

# Convex concentration of measure

## Definition

One says that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies the dimension free gaussian *convex* concentration property if there exist  $b, c > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$  and for all function  $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  1-Lipschitz convex or concave, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X_1, \dots, X_k) \geq m(f) + t) \leq ce^{-bt^2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are i.i.d of law  $\mu$  and  $m(f)$  is the median of  $f(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ .

## Remarks :

- Weaker than usual gaussian dimension free concentration property.
- Example (Talagrand, Marton, Maurey) : If  $\mu$  has a bounded support, then it satisfies this inequality with  $c = 2$  and  $b = \frac{1}{4\text{Diam}(\text{Supp}(\mu))^2}$ .

# Convex concentration of measure

## Theorem (G.-Roberto-Samson-Tetali (2017))

A probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies the dimension free gaussian *convex* concentration property if and only if there exists  $D > 0$  such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_2(\nu_1|\nu_2) \leq D(H(\nu_1|\mu) + H(\nu_2|\mu)), \quad \forall \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- + Quantitative links between constants  $b, c, D$
- + Necessary and sufficient condition in dimension 1 (paper with Y. Shu).

## IV - One word on WOT with unnormalized kernels

# WOT with unnormalized kernels

Work in progress with P. Choné and F. Kramarz

Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be two compact metric spaces. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$  the set of all non-negative finite measures on  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

## Definition

Let  $c : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ ; the unnormalized weak transport cost  $\mathcal{I}_c(\mu, \nu)$  between  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_c(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mu, \nu)} \int c(x, q^x) d\mu(x),$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}(\mu, \nu)$  is the set of all non-negative kernels  $q$  (i.e.  $q^x(dy) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ) such that  $\mu q = \nu$

Economic motivation (Choné - Kramarz 2021) :

- $\mu$  represents a distribution of firms (the size of the firms is unknown)
- $\nu$  represents a distribution of workers
- $q^x$  represents the workers recruited by the firm  $x$  :  $q^x(dy) = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \delta_{y_i}$  means that the firm  $x$  has recruited  $n_i$  workers with the skill profile  $y_i$ .
- $-c(x, m)$  represents the productivity of the firm  $x$  when it recruits a distribution of workers  $m$ .

Goal : Find the optimal allocation of workers to optimize the total productivity.

ArXiv preprint (soon available) : existence of solutions, duality, study of barycentric costs, generalization of Strassen theorem,...



Thank you for your attention !